



500.41256X00

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants: HIROTA et al

Serial No.: 10/082,113

Filed: February 26, 2002

For: Liquid Display Element And Display Unit Using Thereof

Art Unit: 2871

Examiner: J. Di Grazio

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Mail Stop: BOX AF Response (Fee)  
Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

February 3, 2006

Sir:

The following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application, in response to the Office Action dated October 5, 2005 and in light of the interview conducted January 26, 2006.

The Examiners, Mr. Schechter and Ms. Di Grazio, are thanked for the courtesy extended applicants attorney during the interview of January 26, 2006, wherein the rejections based upon the combination of Kubo et al and Yoshida et al were discussed. At the interview, the undersigned attorney pointed out that the combination of the cited art did not disclose or teach the claimed features of the independent and dependent claims of this application, as will be discussed below, with the Examiner's indicating that consideration would be given when a formal response was submitted.

The rejection of claims 1, 13 and 16 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,295,109B1 (to Kubo et al) in view of